

# Biological De-acidification

WI Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Conference 2020

Nick Smith, UW-Madison Outreach Specialist

## April Petite Pearl Field Day

- 2019 WMARS
  - ~35 attendees and ~12 wines (Crimson Pearl)
- Next and final field day:
  - April 6, 2020 Ziegler Winery
- Contact me if you have Petite Pearl wine available for purchase
- Bob Borucki, has some small scale wine items available

## De-acidification

- Acid Management Strategies
- Importance of de-acidification, and technical issues
- Types of de-acidification
- Review of chemical de-acidification
- Biological methods of de-acidification
- Multi-method approaches

## Acid Reduction – Why Biological Methods?

- Many cool climate grapes exhibit:
  - Low pH
    - Some high pH, particularly in reds
  - High TA
  - High concentrations of malic acid
  - High levels of potassium
- Achieving desired acid balance in wine is complicated
- Every acid management option has some potential challenges and negatives

## Acid Reduction

- Two general methods:
  - Chemical de-acidification
    - Carbonates, water, blending
  - Biological de-acidification
    - Microbes, yeasts

## Chemical Methods

- Review:
  - 3 main compounds used
    - Potassium Bicarbonate
    - Calcium Carbonate
    - Double Salts (Acidex)
  - Other options:
    - Amelioration
    - Blending
    - Masking, add more sugar

## Chemical Methods

- Potassium Bicarbonate
  - Best for high acid low pH juices/musts
  - Reacts with tartaric acid to form potassium bitartrate
  - Will require cold stabilization
  - Increases malic acid ratio
  - Possible sensory impact
  - Easy to use
  - Limited based on pH and buffering capacity
    - Bench trials with pH measurement
  - 1 – 4 g/l reduction, depending on initial pH
    - Sensory issues

## Chemical Methods

- Calcium Carbonate
  - Reacts with tartaric acid to form calcium tartrate
  - Often used in high pH high acid juice/musts
  - Difficult to stabilize
  - Increases malic acid ratio
  - Possible sensory concerns
  - Easy to use
  - Use pre-fermentation

## Chemical Methods

- Double Salts (Acidex)
  - Still available?
  - Incorporates calcium malate tartrate
  - Partial precipitation of calcium tartrate
  - More complicated to use
  - Does it work?

## Biological Methods

- Yeast – *Saccharomyces*
- Bacteria - MLB
- Yeast – *Schizosaccharomyces*

## Biological Methods

- Yeasts
  - Certain strains capable of partial malic acid consumption
    - 71B, popular for cool climate hybrids
    - 71B has been seen to reduce overall TA by 0.5 to 2 g/L
    - Lalvin C
    - Maurivin B
    - ML01
- What are you using?

## Biological Methods

- Yeast
  - Effectiveness varies
  - Use recommended fermentation temperatures and fermentation conditions
    - Accurate TA measurements

## Biological Methods

- Bacteria
  - Using MLB, typically *O. oeni*, to convert malic acid to lactic acid via malolactic fermentation
  - Many different considerations
    - Strain
    - Timing
  - Style
    - Dry vs. sweet
    - MLF impact on wine character

## MLF

- Use co-inoculation when minimal sensory impact is desired
  - Diacetyl management
  - Not functional where arresting fermentation is desired
    - Acid reduction takes places following AF
    - Cool temps inhibit MLB
- Analytical capability helpful
  - True of any biological method

## Co-inoculation Considerations

- Red and White Wines
  - Must be able to ferment wine to completion
  - Use appropriate yeast/MLB combinations
  - Lower initial sulfur usage
  - Lower pH juice highly recommended

## Co-inoculation Considerations

- White wines
  - Lower initial SO<sub>2</sub> use, greater potential of browning
  - Best suited for dry wines
  - ML bacteria may need higher fermentation temperatures
  - May be problematic in high malic acid wines (La Crescent)
    - Large pH increase
    - Large production of lactic acid
  - Use Lysozyme on ML incomplete wines
    - Haze formation, La Crescent and Front gris have high levels of heat instability
  - Low pH wines may need a longer time delay before the addition of MLB



## MLF and Sweet Wine

- Tricky!
- pH is critical
  - High pH requires high SO<sub>2</sub>
  - High pH in reds/rose impacts color
    - Bleaching, stability
- MLB will consume:
  - Acids, sugars, and sorbate
    - Resulting in:
      - Diacetyl
      - Acetaldehyde
      - Acetic acid
      - Carbonated and gushing wine
      - Haze
      - Geranium taint

1/30/2020

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

17



**Table II: Yeast/Bacteria Compatibility**

| Compatibility*      | MOST COMPATIBLE:<br>5                              | 4  | 3   | 2   | LEAST COMPATIBLE:<br>1           |
|---------------------|--|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
|                     | ++   | +  | +/-   | -   | -                                |
| <b>Yeast Strain</b> | QA23™<br>ICV D254®<br>718®<br>AMH™<br>W15™<br>VRB® | R2™<br>M2™<br>CY3079®<br>RC212®<br>43®<br>ICV GRE™<br>ICV D47™<br>CEG<br>BC™<br>DV10™<br>L 2056®<br>ICV D80®<br>Rhône4600®<br>R-HST® | EC1118™<br>PdM<br>ICV D21®<br>BDX™<br>BRL97™<br>CrossEvolution®<br>BGY™<br>CSM™<br>RP15™<br>T306®<br>Syrah™ | RA17®<br>L2226™<br>BM45™<br>BM4x4®<br>BA11™ | M1™<br>K1 (V1116)™<br>ICV Opale® |

<http://www.practicalwinery.com/summer2011/ferment1.htm>

Nichola Hall, Scott Labs

## Yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces*

- *S. pombe*, Pro-Malic
  - Normally considered a spoilage organism
  - Encapsulated in an alginate shell
  - Performs malo-ethanol fermentation
  - Utilizes some sugar
  - Increase in pH
- Order well in advance of intended use (Scott Labs)

## Yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces*

- Use:
  - Proper inoculation procedure
    - 30C incubation temperature
  - Benefits from some level of circulation
  - Low ethanol tolerance
    - Timing:
      - Promalic then alcoholic fermentation
      - Promalic, CI with alcoholic fermentation
      - Promalic, CI with alcoholic fermentation and MLF

## Yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces*

- Use:
  - Timing
    - Pro-malic first
      - Appropriate SO<sub>2</sub>
      - Regular monitoring and stirring
      - Be wary of oxidation in whites
      - Strict sanitation and observation of indigenous fermentations
      - Remove beads at desired time, then start alcoholic fermentation
  - *S. pombe* may produce off-flavors

## Yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces*

- Use:
  - Co-inoculation with yeast
    - Add Pro-malic first, then add yeast 1 to 2 days later
    - Alcohol concentration will eventually inactivate ProMalic
    - Important to use yeast that will be compatible with Promalic and vice versa
      - QA23 has worked

## Yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces*

- Issues:
  - Currently available in one quantity, relatively expensive
    - Should be used immediately or soon after opening
  - Contributes to alcohol content
    - Large malic acid reductions may cause small alcohol increases
  - Ability to measure and monitor TA, malic acid
  - Some increase in pH
  - Spoilage risk, oxidation

## Yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces*

- Red Wine
  - Bead pores can become plugged
    - Re-energize
      - Remove beads and place in warm sugar solution for about an hour each day

## Yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces*

- Malate reduction is possible and dramatic

## Biological Methods, Considerations

- High malic acid
  - pH increase
  - High lactic acid concentrations
  - Sensory contributions
- Uncertain acid reduction results
- Slower results

## Multi-Method Approaches

- Using multiple products and techniques to achieve desired acid concentration and balance
  - Common practices include k-bicarb after fermentation
- One objective is to reduce malic acid concentrations for improved biological deacidification

## Multi-Method Approaches

- Malic acid metabolizing yeasts with MLF
  - Co-inoculation of 71B with Beta
- Partial Promalic, alcoholic fermentation, MLF

# Questions?

Nicholas Smith  
NSmith35@wisc.edu